

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 11, 2002 LB 1257

chance to talk on it. So that's the reason we didn't go...come back and make a motion to kill the bill there so that they...Senator Dierks would have a chance to bring it back and...if he could suspend the rules to do it. So that's what...kind of the story in the Exec Session on it so...but I thought we had a real good discussion in there and they all felt for Senator Dierks and Cunningham for bringing this and they thought it was a...that it was something that they probably needed to do. But another thing I wanted to bring out, the fact that...why I was in support of it, was because of the Boyd County issue is my big concern right now because Senator Dierks had the whole Boyd County for all these years and all the...all through the low-level problem that they had up there and I've been up there to a meeting since and they still look back to Senator Dierks for their answers and...and refer to him all the time. So that's another reason that I thought through this here lawsuit that we're having it would be better if we...that he could have that county back, so that is one of the main reasons. But I thought Senator Kruse brought out some good points that I was really impressed with because I think we should have tried harder, as a...as a Legislature, to save all of our districts. I know that we tried it a couple times but I still think we could have worked around and figured out some way to do it, because I think the people out in the rural area are feeling left out. And something else that we could do as a body right here, a lot of people might not have even thought about that, was we have 50 senators on our constitution right now. We could have voted, as a body here with 25 votes, and put a...and seated another senator in one of these places right now. I realize that it would have made it even and we'd have a problem maybe with a lot of tie votes, but we do have that option. And then I do have a constitutional amendment change to change that that's going to be heard in the committee on Thursday to add five senators to our constitution. That's no sign that they'll ever be added on the floor, just like this fiftieth one has never been added, but it gives us the opportunity so we could. On a situation like this, we could have added a couple if we had that in ahead of time that still would have left it an odd number on the floor and could have been worked out. So with that conversation, I think I'm going to support to suspend the rules to give them a chance to explain it some more on the floor and